

Appendix 8: References

- Abu Moghli, A. M. and Kadiwal, L. (2021) Decolonising the curriculum beyond the surge: Conceptualisation, positionality and conduct. *London Review of Education*, 19 (1), 1–16.
- Al-Ataby, A. (2020). Technology-enhanced learning and teaching in COVID-19 era: Challenges and recommendations. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, 8(10), 317-31.
- Anderson, L. W., and Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*, Longman.
- Andrews, M. E., Matthew G., Prince, M. Borrego, M., Finelli, C. and Husman, J. (2020) Student Resistance to Active Learning: Do Instructors (Mostly) Get It Wrong? *Australasian Journal of Engineering Education* 25 (2), 142–54.
- Andrews, M., Prince, M., Finelli, C., Graham, M., Borrego, M., and Husman, J. (2021) Explanation and Facilitation Strategies Reduce Student Resistance to Active Learning, *College Teaching*.
- Armstrong, F., and Barton, L. (1999) Is Here Anyone There Concerned with Human Rights? Cross-Cultural Connections, Disability and the Struggle for Change in England. In *Disability, Human Rights and Education: Cross Cultural Perspectives*, Armstrong, F. and Barton, L. (eds.), 210–29. Open University Press.
- Bacharach, N., Heck, T.W., and Dahlberg, K. (2008) Co-teaching in higher education. *Journal of College Teaching and Learning*, 5(3), 9-16.
- Baker, J.W. (2000) The Classroom Flip: Using Web Course Management Tools to Become the Guide by the Side. 11th international Conference on College Teaching and Learning, Jacksonville, Florida Community College.
- Barber, M. (2021) *Gravity assist: propelling higher education towards a brighter future – Digital teaching and learning review*, <https://www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/gravity-assist-propelling-higher-education-towards-a-brighter-future/>.
- Barosevcic, M., Lynn-Matern, J., and Jones, C. (2021) Technology-enabled teaching and learning at scale: a roadmap to 2020, Jisc/Emerge Education.
- Beatty, B. (2019) *Hybrid-Flexible Course Design: Implementing student-directed hybrid classes*, EdTech Books.
- Beatty, B. J. (2007). Hybrid classes with flexible participation options – If you build it, how will they come? Paper presented at the 2007 Association for Educational Communications and Technology Annual Convention (October). Anaheim, CA: Association for Educational Communications and Technology, <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.457.495&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- Beggan, A. (2020) Digital Learning Ecosystems: Discussing the outcomes of a principle led investigation into an alternative VLE, *IMPact* 3(1), 1-15.
- Belland, B. R. (2017). *Instructional scaffolding in STEM education: Strategies and efficacy evidence*. Springer.
- Berthoud, L., Lancaster, S., and Gilbertson, M. (2021) Designing a resilient curriculum for a joint engineering first year. In *SEFI European Engineering Education Conference*. European Society for Engineering Education (SEFI).

- Bevitt, S. (2015) Assessment innovation and student experience: A new assessment challenge and call for a multi-perspective approach to assessment research. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 40(1), 103–19.
- Biggs, J. (1996). Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. *Higher Education*, 32(3), 347-64.
- Black, P. and Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice* 5(1), 7-74.
- Black, P., Harrison, C., Lee, C., Marshall, B. and Wiliam, D. (2004). *Working inside the black box: assessment for learning in the classroom*, NFER Nelson.
- Bloxham, S. and Boyd, P. (2007) *Developing Effective Assessment in Higher Education: A Practical Guide*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Bonfield, C. A., Salter, M., Longmuir, A., Benson, M., and Adachi, C. (2020). Transformation or evolution?: Education 4.0, teaching and learning in the digital age. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 5(1), 223-46.
- Bonwell, C. C., and Eison, J. A. (1991). *Active learning: creating excitement in the classroom*. George Washington University.
- Boothe, K. A., Lohmann, M. J., Donnell, K. A., and Hall, D. D. (2018). Applying the principles of universal design for learning (UDL) in the college classroom. *Journal of Special Education Apprenticeship*, 7(3), n3.
- Børte, K., Nesje, K., and Lillejord, S. (2020). Barriers to student active learning in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 1-19.
- Boud, D., and E. Molloy (2013) *Feedback in Higher and Professional Education*. Routledge.
- Bovill, C. (2020) Co-creation in learning and teaching: the case for a whole-class approach in higher education. *Higher Education* 79, 1023–37.
- Bovill, C., and Woolmer, C. (2019) How conceptualisations of curriculum in higher education influence student-staff co-creation *in and of* the curriculum. *Higher Education* 78, 407–22.
- Bovill, C., Cook-Sather, A., Felten, P., Millard, L., and Moore-Cherry, N. (2016). Addressing potential challenges in co-creating learning and teaching: Overcoming resistance, navigating institutional norms and ensuring inclusivity in student–staff partnerships. *Higher Education*, 71(2), 195-208.
- Bozkurt, A, Koseoglu, S and Singh, L. (2019). An analysis of peer reviewed publications on openness in education in half a century: Trends and patterns in the open hemisphere. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 35(4), 68–97.
- Brigati, J. (2018) Student Attitudes toward Active Learning vs. Lecture in Cell Biology Instruction, *The American Biology Teacher*, 80(8), 584–91.
- Broadbent, J. (2017). Comparing online and blended learner’s self-regulated learning strategies and academic performance. *Internet and Higher Education*, 33, 24–32.
- Bromley, A., Boran, J. and Myddelton, W. (2007) Investigating the baseline skills of research students using a competency-based self-assessment method. *Active Learning in Higher Education* 8(2), 117–37.
- Bunbury, S. (2020). Disability in higher education—do reasonable adjustments contribute to an inclusive curriculum? *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 24(9), 964-79.

- Carless, D. (2017) *Scaling up assessment for learning in higher education*, Springer.
- Carless, D. (2019) Feedback loops and the longer-term: towards feedback spirals, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 44(5), 705-14.
- Carless, D., and Boud, D. (2018). The development of student feedback literacy: enabling uptake of feedback. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(8), 1315-25.
- Carlsen, A., Holmberg, C., Neghina, C., and Owusu-Boampong, A. (2016). *Closing the gap: Opportunities for distance education to benefit adult learners in higher education*. UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning.
- Chaplin, S., 2009. Assessment of the impact of case studies on student learning gains in an introductory biology course. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 39(1), 72.
- Chen, P., Lambert, A., and Guidry, K. (2010). Engaging online learners: The impact of web-based learning technology on college student engagement. *Computers and Education*, 54, 1222– 32.
- Chinnery, S., Hughes, K. and MacKay, J. R. D. (2018) 'What'd I miss? A qualitative exploration of student experience, behaviour and engagement with recorded lectures', in VetEd: International Symposium of the Veterinary Schools Council, Utrecht. <http://www.veted2018.nl/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Parallel-Session-1.jpg>.
- Cifuentes, O.E and Lents, N.H. (2010). Increasing student-teacher interactions at an urban commuter campus through instant messaging and online office hours. *Electronic Journal of Science Education*, 14(1), 1-13.
- Collins, A., Azmat, F. and Rentschler, R. (2019) 'Bringing everyone on the same journey': revisiting inclusion in higher education, *Studies in Higher Education*, 44(8), 1475-87.
- Cook, L., and Friend, M. (1995) Co-teaching: Guidelines for creating effective practices. *Focus on Exceptional Children*, 28(3), 1-16.
- Cook-Sather, A., Bovill, C. and Felten, P. (2014). *Engaging students as partners in learning and teaching: a guide for faculty*. Jossey Bass.
- Coughlan, T., Pitt, B., and Farrow, R. (2019). Forms of Innovation Inspired by Open Educational Resources: A Post-Project Analysis. *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-learning*, 34(2), 156–75.
- Cross, S. (2019) Using VLEs to offer higher education students choice and differentiation in learning activities: Micro-pathway Learning Design implementation and opportunities. In *European Conference on e-Learning*, ACPI.
- Damşa, C., de Lange, T., Elken, M., Esterhazy, R., Fosslund, T., Frølich, N. and Aamodt, P. O. (2015). Quality in Norwegian Higher Education: A review of research on aspects affecting student learning.
- De Grez, L., Valcke, M., and Roozen, I. (2012). How effective are self-and peer assessment of oral presentation skills compared with teachers' assessments?. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 13(2), 129-42.
- Deeley, S., and Bovill, C. (2017). Staff-student partnership in assessment: enhancing assessment literacy through democratic practices. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 42(3), 463–77.
- Delgado Bernal, D., Villalpando, O., Taylor, E., Gillborn, D., and Ladson-Billings, G. (2016). An apartheid of knowledge in academia. *Foundations of critical race theory in education*, 72-92.

- Deslauriers, L., McCarty, L. S., Miller, K., Callaghan, K., and Kestin, G. (2019). Measuring actual learning versus feeling of learning in response to being actively engaged in the classroom. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 116(39), 19251–7.
- Devine, O. P., Harborne, A. C., and McManus, I. C. (2015) Assessment at UK medical schools varies substantially in volume, type and intensity and correlates with postgraduate attainment. *BMC medical education*, 15(1), 1-13.
- Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and education: an introduction to the philosophy of education*, The Macmillan Company.
- Dommett, E. J., Gardner, B., and Van Tilburg, W. (2019). Staff and student views of lecture capture: A qualitative study. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1-12.
- Double, K. S., McGrane, J. A., and Hopfenbeck, T. N. (2020). The impact of peer assessment on academic performance: A meta-analysis of control group studies. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(2), 481-509.
- Downing V.R., Cooper K.M., Cala J.M., Gin L.E., Brownell S.E. (2020) Fear of Negative Evaluation and Student Anxiety in Community College Active-Learning Science Courses. *CBE Life Science Education* 19(2):ar20. doi: 10.1187/cbe.19-09-0186.
- Doyle, C., and K. Robson. 2002. *Accessible Curricula: Good Practice for All*. University of Wales Institute.
- EDUCAUSE (2020) 2020 EDUCAUSE *Horizon Report, Teaching and Learning Edition*, <https://library.EDUCAUSE.edu/>
- EDUCAUSE (2021) 2021 EDUCAUSE *Horizon Report Teaching and Learning Edition*, <https://library.educause.edu/resources/2021/4/2021-educause-horizon-report-teaching-and-learning-edition>.
- Elsen, M., Visser-Wijnveen, G.J., Van der Rijst, R.M. and Van Driel, J.H. (2009). How to strengthen the connection between research and teaching in undergraduate university education. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 63(1), 64-85.
- Ennis, R.H. (2018). Critical thinking across the curriculum: A vision. *Topoi*, 37(1), 165-84.
- Finelli, C. J., Nguyen, K., DeMonbrun, M., Borrego, M., Prince, M., Husman, J. et al. (2018). Reducing student resistance to active learning: Strategies for instructors. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 47(5), 80-91.
- Flavin, M., and Quintero, V. (2018). UK higher education institutions' technology-enhanced learning strategies from the perspective of disruptive innovation. *Research in Learning Technology*, 26.
- Florian, L. (2014). What counts as evidence of inclusive education? *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 29(3), 286-94.
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S.L., McDonough, M., Smith, M.K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H. and Wenderoth, M.P., (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 111(23), 8410-15.
- Frick, H., Birt, J. and Waters, J. (2020) Enhancing student engagement in large management accounting lectures. *Account Finance*, 60, 271-98.
- Froyd, J., Borrego, M., Cutler, S., Prince, M. and Henderson, C. (2012) Use of research-based instructional strategies in core electrical or computer engineering courses. *IEEE: Transactions on Education*, 56, 393–99.

- García del Dujo, Á., and Martín-Lucas, J. (2020). Towards 'onlife' education. How technology is forcing us to rethink pedagogy. In Martín-García, A.V. (ed.) *Blended learning: Convergence between technology and pedagogy* (1-19), Springer.
- Garrison, D. R., and Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The internet and higher education*, 7(2), 95-105.
- Gay, G. (2010). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (2nd ed). Teachers College Press.
- Goncalves, S. A., and Trunk, D. (2014) Obstacles to success for the non-traditional student in higher education. *Psi Chi Journal of Psychological Research*, 19, 164-72.
- Griffiths, R. (2004) Knowledge production and the research–teaching nexus: the case of the built environment disciplines, *Studies in Higher Education*, 29(6), 709-26.
- Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive engagement vs. traditional methods: A six thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*, 66, 64-75.
- Hannafin, M., Land, S., and Oliver, K. (1999). Open learning environments: Foundations, methods, and models. In Reigeluth C. M. (ed.), *Instructional-design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory* (Vol. II, 115–40). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Harland, T., McLean, A., Wass, R., Miller, E., and Sim, K.N.. (2015). An Assessment Arms Race and Its Fallout: High-stakes Grading and the Case for Slow Scholarship. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*. 40(4), 528–41.
- Harris, N. and Bacon, C.E.W., (2019). Developing cognitive skills through active learning: A systematic review of health care professions. *Athletic Training Education Journal*, 14(2), 135-48.
- Hattie, J. (2009) *Visible Learning*, Routledge.
- Headington, R. (2018) Students' informal peer feedback networks. *Practitioner Research in Higher Education*, 11(1), 4-14.
- Healey, M. and Jenkins, A. (2009) *Developing undergraduate research and inquiry*. Higher Education Academy.
- Heron, G. (2010) Examining Principles of Formative and Summative Feedback. *British Journal of Social Work*, 2, 276-95.
- Heywood, J. (2000) *Assessment in Higher Education: Student Learning, Teaching, Programmes and Institutions*, Jessica Kingsley.
- Hicks, A. (2016) Reframing librarians' approaches to international students' information literacy through the lens of New Literacy Studies, in McNicol, S. (ed.) *Critical Literacy for Information Professionals*, Facet Publishing.
- Hill, J. R. (2006) Flexible learning environments: Leveraging the affordances of flexible delivery and flexible learning. *Innovative Higher Education*, 31(3), 187–97.
- Hockings, C. (2010) Inclusive learning and teaching in higher education: A synthesis of research, *Evidence Net*. Higher Education Academy.
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T. and Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *EDUCAUSE review*, 27

(March). <https://er.EDUCAUSE.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning>.

Holec, V., and Marynowski, R. (2020). Does It Matter Where You Teach? Insights from a Quasi-Experimental Study of Student Engagement in an Active Learning Classroom. *Teaching and Learning Inquiry* 8(2), 140-64.

Hooper, J., Pollanen, M. and Teismann, H. (2006). Effective online office hours in the mathematical sciences. *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 2(3), 87-94.

Houlden, S. and Veletsianos, G. (2019), A posthumanist critique of flexible online learning and its “anytime anyplace” claims. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50, 1005-18.

Hounsell, D., McCune, V., Hounsell, J., and Litjens, J. (2008). The quality of guidance and feedback to students. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 27(1), 55-67.

Hung, S. T. A. (2016). Enhancing feedback provision through multimodal video technology. *Computers and Education*, 98, 90-101.

Iosad, A., Pauli, M., and Attewell, S. (2020) *Assessment rebooted From 2020's quick fixes to future transformation*, Jisc/Emerge Education, <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/reports/assessment-rebooted>.

Irwin, B., Hepplestone, S., Holden, G., Parkin, H. J., and Thorpe, L. (2013). Engaging students with feedback through adaptive release. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 50(1), 51-61.

Izzo, M. V., Murray, A., & Novak, J. (2008). The faculty perspective on universal design for learning. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability*, 21(2), 60-72.

Jackson, M., and Marks, L. (2016). Improving the effectiveness of feedback by use of assessed reflections and withholding of grades. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 41(4), 532-47.

Jensen, J.L., Kummer, T.A. and Godoy, P.D.D.M. (2015). Improvements from a flipped classroom may simply be the fruits of active learning. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 14(1), ar5.

Jessop, T., McNab, N. and Gubby, L. (2012) Mind the gap: An analysis of how quality assurance processes influence programme assessment patterns. *Active Learning in Higher Education* 13.

Jessop, T., and C. Tomas. (2017) The Implications of Programme Assessment Patterns for Student Learning.” *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education* 42(6), 990–99.

Jessop, T., Y. El Hakim, and G. Gibbs. (2014) The Whole is Greater Than the Sum of Its Parts: A Large-scale Study of Students’ Learning in Response to Different Programme Assessment Patterns. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education* 39(1), 73–88.

Jisc (2019) *Horizons report on emerging technologies and education*, <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/reports/horizons-report-emerging-technologies-and-the-mental-health-challenge>.

Jisc (2020) *Learning and teaching reimagined - Synthesis of audience survey*, <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/reports/learning-and-teaching-reimagined-survey-synthesis>.

Jones, E., Priestley, Mi. Brewster, L., Wilbraham, S.J., Hughes, G. and Spanner, L. (2021) Student wellbeing and assessment in higher education : the balancing act., *Assessment evaluation in higher education*, 46(3), 438-50.

- Jordan, K., and Howe, C. (2018). The perceived benefits and problems associated with teaching activities undertaken by doctoral students. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 23(4), 504-21.
- Keele Student Union (SU), Keele Postgraduate Association (KPA) and Keele University College Union (KUCU) (2018), Keele's Manifesto for Decolonising the Curriculum, <https://www.keele.ac.uk/equalitydiversity/equalityframeworksandactivities/equalityawardsandreports/equalityawards/raceequalitycharter/keeledecolonisingthecurriculumnetwork/#keele-manifesto-for-decolonising-the-curriculum>.
- Kennedy, M., and Dunn, T. J. (2018). Improving the use of technology enhanced learning environments in higher education in the UK: A qualitative visualization of students' views. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 9(1), 76-89.
- Kieran, L., and Anderson, C. (2019). Connecting universal design for learning with culturally responsive teaching. *Education and Urban Society*, 51(9), 1202-16.
- Killingback, C., Ahmed, O., and Williams, J. (2019). 'It was all in your voice'-Tertiary student perceptions of alternative feedback modes (audio, video, podcast, and screencast): A qualitative literature review. *Nurse education today*, 72, 32-9.
- Kim, D., Park, Y., Yoon, M., and Jo, I-H. (2016). Toward evidence-based learning analytics: Using proxy variables to improve asynchronous online discussion environments. *Internet and Higher Education*, 30, 30-43.
- Knight, J.K. and Wood, W.B. (2005) Teaching more by lecturing less. *Cell Biology Education*, 4(4), 298-310.
- Kotera, Y., Cockerill, V., Green, P., Hutchinson, L., Shaw, P., and Bowskill, N. (2019). Towards another kind of borderlessness: Online students with disabilities. *Distance Education*, 40(2), 170-86.
- Lafren, A., and Smith, M. (2017). Responding to student writing online: Tracking student interactions with instructor feedback in a Learning Management System. *Assessing Writing*, 31, 39-52.
- Låg, T. and Sæle, R.G. (2019). Does the flipped classroom improve student learning and satisfaction? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *AERA open*, 5(3), p.2332858419870489.
- Lai, M., Lam, K. M., and Lim, C.P. (2016). Design principles for the blend in blended learning: a collective case study. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 21(6), 716-29.
- Lambach, D., Kärger, C. and Goerres, A. (2017). Inverting the large lecture class: active learning in an introductory international relations course. *European Political Science*, 16, 553-69.
- Leathwood, C. (2005). Assessment Policy and Practice in Higher Education: Purpose, Standards and Equity. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 30(3), 307-24.
- Li, L., Liu, X., and Zhou, Y. (2012). Give and take: A re-analysis of assessor and assessee roles in technology-facilitated peer assessment. *British Journal of Educational Research*, 43(3), 376-84.
- Lillejord, S., Børte, K., Nesje, K., and Ruud, E. (2018) *Learning and Teaching with Technology in Higher Education - a Systematic Review*. Oslo, Norway.
- Lin, H.C. and Hwang, G.J. (2019). Research trends of flipped classroom studies for medical courses: A review of journal publications from 2008 to 2017 based on the technology-enhanced learning model. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 27(8), 1011-27.
- Linden, K. (2022). Improving student retention by providing targeted support to university students who do not submit an early assessment item. A practice report. *Student Success*, 13(1), 67-73.

- Liyanage, I., Walker, T., and Shokouhi, H. (2021). Are we thinking critically about critical thinking? Uncovering uncertainties in internationalised higher education. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 39, 100762.
- Lizzio, A., Wilson, K. and Simons, R. (2002). University students' perceptions of the learning environment and academic outcomes: Implications for theory and practice. *Studies in Higher Education*. 27: 27–51.
- Lomer, S. and Mittelmeier, J. (2021) Mapping the research on pedagogies with international students in the UK: a systematic literature review, *Teaching in Higher Education*.
- Looft, R., and Myers, M. J. (2019) Exploring faculty and student reflections on collaborative teaching in the honors seminar classroom. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies in Education*, 8(1), 140–57.
- Lubicz-Nawrocka, T. M. (2018). From partnership to self-authorship: the benefits of co-creation of the curriculum. *International Journal for Students as Partners*, 2(1), 47–63.
- MacKay, J. R. (2020). Survey evidence on the impact of lecture recording on equality, diversity and inclusion aims in higher education, *Research in Learning Technology*, 28, <https://doi.org/10.25304/rlt.v28.2476>.
- Marquis, E. (2018). Embracing and/or avoiding the risks of partnership: a faculty perspective. *Teaching and Learning Together in Higher Education*, 1(24).
- Marrone, M., Taylor, M., and Hammerle, M. (2018). Do International Students Appreciate Active Learning in Lectures?. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, 22.
- Martinez Serrano, M., O'Brien, M., Roberts, K., and Whyte, D. (2018). Critical Pedagogy and assessment in higher education: The ideal of 'authenticity' in learning. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 19(1), 9-21.
- McArthur, J. (2016) Assessment for social justice: the role of assessment in achieving social justice, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 41(7), 967-81.
- McNeil, J. and Borg, M. (2020) SCALE-UP at Nottingham Trent University: The adoption at scale of an active learning approach for diverse cohorts. In Pratt Adams, S., Richter, U., and Warnes, M. (eds.) *Innovations in Active Learning in Higher Education*, Active Learning Network, <https://doi.org/10.20919/9781912319961>.
- Medland, E. (2016) Assessment in higher education: Drivers, barriers and directions for change in the UK. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 41(1), 81–96.
- Michael, J. (2007). Faculty perceptions about barriers to active learning. *College Teaching*, 55, 42–7.
- Mirriahi, N., Alonzo, D., and Fox, B. (2015). Blended learning framework for curriculum design and professional development. *Research in Learning Technology*, 23(1), 28451.
- Mishra, S. (2017). Open educational resources: removing barriers from within. *Distance Education*, 38(3), 369-80.
- Misseyanni, A., Lytras, M. D., Papadopoulou, P., and Marouli, C. (2018). Prelims, in *Active Learning Strategies in Higher Education*, Misseyanni, A., Lytras, M.D., Papadopoulou, P. and Marouli, C. (eds.). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Molloy, E. and Boud, D. (2013) Changing conceptions of feedback. In Boud, D. and Molloy, E. (eds) *Feedback in Higher and Professional Education: Understanding it and doing it well*. (11-33) Routledge.

- Morreira, S., Lockett, K., Kumalo, S. H., and Ramgotra, M. (2020). Confronting the complexities of decolonising curricula and pedagogy in higher education. *Third World Thematics: A TWQ Journal*, 5(1-2), 1-18.
- Mulder R, Pearce J and Baik C (2014) Peer review in higher education: Student perceptions before and after participation. *Active Learning in Higher Education* 15(2), 157–71.
- Nes, K., and Stromstad, M. (2003) Creating Structures for Inclusive Development in Teacher Education. In *Developing Inclusive Teacher Education*, Nes, K. Stromstad, M. and Booth, T. (eds), (116–29). Routledge Falmer.
- Neves, J., and Brown, A. (2022). *Student Academic Experience Survey, 2022*. Higher Education Policy Institute.
- Neves, J., and Hewitt, R. (2020). *Student Academic Experience Survey, 2020*. Higher Education Policy Institute.
- Neves, J., and Hewitt, R. (2021). *Student Academic Experience Survey, 2021*. Higher Education Policy Institute.
- Nicol, D. (2010) 'From monologue to dialogue: Improving written feedback processes in mass higher education'. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(5), 501–17.
- Norberg, A., Dziuban, C.D., and Moskal, P.D. (2011). A time-based blended learning model. *On the Horizon*, 19(3), 207–16.
- Nordmann, E., and McGeorge, P. (2018). Lecture capture in higher education: time to learn from the learners. *PsyArXiv*.
- O'Neill G., and Padden, L. (2021) Diversifying assessment methods: Barriers, benefits and enablers, *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 59(4), 398-409.
- Office for Students (OfS) (2018) National Student Survey Results 2018. <https://www.officeforstudents.org.uk/advice-and-guidance/student-information-and-data/national-student-survey-nss/get-the-nss-data> .
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1999). *Responding to new demands in tertiary education*. OECD Publications.
- Orr, D., Weller, M., and Farrow, R. (2019). How is digitalisation affecting the flexibility and openness of higher education provision? Results of a global survey using a new conceptual model. *Journal of interactive media in education*, 2019(1), 1-12.
- Orwell, S., Dias Fonseca, T., and Casanove, D. (2018) TEL communities: Bridging the gap between the theory and practice in using virtual learning environments in higher education, Advance HE Teaching and Learning Conference 2018, <https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/knowledge-hub/advance-he-teaching-learning-conference-2018-tel-communities-bridging-gap-between>.
- Otto, D. (2021). Driven by Emotions! The Effect of Attitudes on Intention and Behaviour regarding Open Educational Resources (OER). *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*, 2021(1), 1–14.
- Otto, D., Schroeder, N., Diekmann, D., and Sander, P. (2021) Trends and Gaps in Empirical Research on Open Educational Resources (OER): A Systematic Mapping of the Literature from 2015 to 2019, *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 13(4), ep325.
- Pauli, M. (2021) *Rethinking assessment*, Jisc/Emerge Education, <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/reports/rethinking-assessment>.

- Pea, R. D. (2004). The social and technological dimensions of scaffolding and related theoretical concepts for learning, education, and human activity. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 13(3), 423–51.
- Pearson and Wonkhe (2021) Students' experiences of study during Covid-19 and hopes for future learning and teaching, <https://wonkhe.com/wp-content/wonkhe-uploads/2021/02/Wonkhe-Pearson-expectation-gap-II-Feb-2021.pdf>.
- Pickering, J.D. and Roberts, D.J.H. (2017) Flipped classroom or an active lecture? *Clinical Anatomy*, 31(1), 118-21.
- Pitt, E. (2021) Introduction: assessment and feedback in a post-pandemic era. Assessment and Feedback in a Post-Pandemic Era: A Time for Learning and Inclusion. In: Baughan, P. (ed.) *Assessment and Feedback in a Post-Pandemic Era: A Time for Learning and Inclusion* (5-9) Advance HE.
- Pope-Ruark, R., Motley, P., and Moner, W.J. (2019). Creative Innovation Takes a (Team Teaching) Family. *Teaching and Learning Inquiry: The ISSOTL Journal*, 7(1), 120-35.
- Poppitt, N.J. and Iqbal, Y. (2009) Formative feedback in a Business School: understanding the student perspective. *Practitioner Research in Higher Education*, 3(1), 3-10.
- Porter, W.W., and Graham, C.R. (2016). Institutional drivers and barriers to faculty adoption of blended learning in higher education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 47(4), 748–62.
- Prince M (2004) Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education* 93(3), 223–31.
- Prince, M., Borrego, M., Henderson, C., Cutler, S. and Froyd, J. (2013) Use of research-based instructional strategies in core chemical engineering courses. *Chemical Engineering Education*, 47, 27–37.
- Quinton, S. and Smallbone, T. (2010). Feeding forward: using feedback to promote student reflection and learning – a teaching model, *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 47(1) 125-35.
- Raes, A. (2022) Exploring Student and Teacher Experiences in Hybrid Learning Environments: Does Presence Matter? *Postdigital Science and Education* 4, 138–59.
- Ramsey, D., Evans, J., and Levy, M. (2016) Preserving the seminar experience. *Journal of Political Science Education*, 12(3), 256–67.
- Rao, N., Hosein, A., and Raaper, R. (2021). Doctoral students navigating the borderlands of academic teaching in an era of precarity. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 26(3), 454-70.
- Redmond, P., Heffernan, A., Abawi, L., Brown, A., and Henderson, R. (2018). An Online Engagement Framework for Higher Education. *Online Learning*, 22(1), 183-204.
- Roach, K., Tilley, E., and Mitchell, J. (2018). How authentic does authentic learning have to be?. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 3(1), 495-509.
- Robson, L., Gardner, B., Dommett, E.J. (2022) The Post-Pandemic Lecture: Views from Academic Staff across the UK. *Educ. Sci.* 12, 123.
- Rose, D. H., and Meyer, A. (2002). *Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal design for learning*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

- Roy, A. W. R. (2003) Students with Visual Impairment. In Powell, S. (ed.) *Special Teaching in Higher Education: Successful Strategies for Access and Inclusion*, (57–95), Kogan Page.
- Salmon, G. (2005). Flying not flapping: A strategic framework for e-learning and pedagogical innovation in higher education institutions. *Research in Learning Technology*, 13(3), 201–18.
- Sambell, K. McDowell, L. and Montgomery, C. (2013) *Assessment for Learning in Higher Education*. Routledge.
- Shavelson, R.J., Zlatkin-Troitschanskaia, O., Beck, K., Schmidt, S. and Marino, J.P. (2019). Assessment of university students' critical thinking: Next generation performance assessment. *International Journal of Testing*, 19(4), 337-62.
- Shroff, R. H., Ting, F. S. T., and Lam, W. H. (2019). Development and validation of an instrument to measure students' perceptions of technology-enabled active learning. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 35(4).
- Simmons, M., Colville, D., Bullock, S., Willems, J., Machado, M., McArdle, A. et.al. (2020). Introducing the flip: A mixed method approach to gauge student and staff perceptions on the introduction of flipped pedagogy in pre-clinical medical education. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 36(3), 163-75.
- Smith C. and Sodano T. (2011) Integrating lecture capture as a teaching strategy to improve student presentation skills through self-assessment. *Active Learning in Higher Education* 12(3), 151–62.
- Smith, K., and Hill, J. (2018). Defining the nature of blended learning through its depiction in current research. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 38(2), 383-97.
- Stefanou, C., Stolk, J. D., Prince, M., Chen, J. C., and Lord, S. M. (2013). Self-regulation and autonomy in problem-and project-based learning environments. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 14(2), 109-22.
- Szeto, E. (2014). A Comparison of Online/Face-to-face Students' and Instructor's Experiences: Examining Blended Synchronous Learning Effects. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 4250–54.
- Tai, J., Ajjawi R., and Umarova, A. (2021) How do students experience inclusive assessment? A critical review of contemporary literature, *International Journal of Inclusive Education*.
- Taras, M. (2003). To feedback or not to feedback in student self-assessment. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 28(5), 549-65.
- Tate, S. A., and Bagguley, P. (2017). Building the anti-racist university: next steps. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 20(3), 289–99.
- Theobald, E.J., Hill, M.J., Tran, E., Agrawal, S., Arroyo, E.N., Behling, et al., (2020). Active learning narrows achievement gaps for underrepresented students in undergraduate science, technology, engineering, and math. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(12), 6476-83.
- Thompson, N., Miller, N., and Pomykal Franz, D. (2013). Comparing online and face-to-face learning experiences for non-traditional students. A case study of three online teacher education candidates. *The Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 14(4), 233–51.
- Tomas, C. and Jessop, T. (2019) Struggling and juggling: a comparison of student assessment loads across research and teaching-intensive universities, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 44(1), 1-10.

- Turner, Y. (2013) Pathologies of Silence? Reflecting on International Learner Identities Amidst the Classroom Chatter. In Ryan, J. (ed.) *Cross-Cultural Teaching and Learning for Home and International Students, Internationalisation of Pedagogy and Curriculum in Higher Education*, (227–40), Routledge.
- UNESCO. (2019) Draft recommendation on open educational resources. UNESCO General Conference, 40th, UNESCO.
- Vaughan, N. (2007). Perspectives on blended learning in higher education. *International Journal of E-Learning*, 1, 81–94.
- Villarroel, V., Bloxham, S., Bruna, D., Bruna, C., and Herrera-Seda, C. (2018). Authentic assessment: creating a blueprint for course design. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(5), 840-54.
- Walker, S., Salines, E., Abdillahi, A., Mason, S., Jadav, A., and Molesworth, C. (2019) Identifying and resolving key institutional challenges in feedback and assessment: A case study for implementing change. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 4(1), 422–34.
- Wass, R., Timmermans, J., Harland, T. and McLean, A. (2020). Annoyance and frustration: Emotional responses to being assessed in higher education. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 21(3), 189-201.
- Weitze, C. L., Ørngreen, R., and Levinsen, K. (2013). The Global Classroom Video Conferencing Model and First Evaluations. In Ciussi, M. and Augier, M. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 12th European Conference on E-Learning* (503-10), Academic Conferences and Publishing International.
- Welsh, A.J. (2012) Exploring undergraduates' perceptions of the use of active learning techniques in science lectures. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 42, 80–7.
- West, J., and Turner, W. (2016). Enhancing the Assessment Experience: Improving Student Perceptions, Engagement and Understanding Using Online Video Feedback. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International* 53(4), 400–10.
- Winstone, N. E., and Boud, D. (2019). Developing assessment feedback: From occasional survey to everyday practice. In Lygo-Baker, S., Kinchin, I.M. and Winstone, N.E. *Engaging Student Voices in Higher Education*, (109-23). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Winstone, N., and Carless, D. (2019). *Designing effective feedback processes in higher education: A learning-focused approach*. Routledge.
- Wolstencroft, P., and de Main, L. (2021). 'Why didn't you tell me that before?' Engaging undergraduate students in feedback and feedforward within UK higher education. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 45(3), 312-23.
- Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., and Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 17(2), 89–100.
- Wyatt, L. G. (2011) Nontraditional student engagement: Increasing adult student success and retention. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education*, 59, 10–20.
- Zydney, J. M., Warner, Z., and Angelone, L. (2020) Learning through experience: Using design based research to redesign protocols for blended synchronous learning environments. *Computers and Education*, 143.